

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/812,734	03/30/2004	Shau-Lin Shue	TS00-101C 4809			
42717	7590 08/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			SMITH, B	SMITH, BRADLEY		
901 MAIN ST	REET, SUITE 3100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX	13202		2891			
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/200	DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/812,734	SHUE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bradley K. Smith	2891		

. [ 8	Bradley K. S	Smith	2891				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the co	over sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Co	SIX MONTH	S from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extremely since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	ension there	eof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS			<u>.                                    </u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	r form for a	appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orrespondin	g number of finally re	jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ched Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allot the non-allowable claim(s).		bmitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	] will not b	e entered, or b) 🛛 w	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ded below (	or appended.					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>41-48 and 58-62</u> . Claim(s) objected to: 57.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-40 and 49-56</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or o	n the date of filing a leasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> r and was no	rejections under appe et earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the statu	s of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but a See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT p	place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.							
		BRAD	LEY K. SMITH				

**PRIMARY EXAMINER** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. The applicant's representative contends that Ding fails to disclose "a fill layer comprised of Cu and Ti". Ding et al. does disclose a fill layer with copper and titanium. Ding disclose in column six line 32 "The alloying element will also diffuse into the copper fill". Ding also disclose in column 7 lines 11-15 that titanium is an alloying element.

Continuation of 13. Other: With regards to the final office action, the examiner indicated that claim 37 was allowable and also was rejected, but indicated claim 57 subject matter allowable. In order to clarify the record the examiner erred and meant to indicate that claim 37 was rejected and claim 57 had allowable subject matter.